



•

Yesterday

Star Fortress

- What "photo" would THAT be?



•

Yesterday

Tyson Bradford

- <http://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=277267812349009&set=a.277263285682795.65033.100001973766052&type=1&theater>
- **Attachment Unavailable**
The attachment source was deleted or the privacy settings on this attachment do not allow you to view it.



•

Yesterday

Star Fortress

- And YES, I will continue to post warnings regarding those who make comments about me.



•

Yesterday

Tyson Bradford

- Then I will continue to post photographic evidence of the gear you sent me. However warnings are not what that was
- However I do have some questions, as it may affect my stance, is SFP under the same management that it was during 2006 when I got your merch?
- ?



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- Well, you asked for feedback on the forum, "what do you think?" or thereabouts. You played along with the gossip crowd in posting defamatory statements degrading the quality of the product. I simple posted a picture of how one should NOT display a costume as it was worn badly to misrepresent my products. Nothing was said against your person or revealed the wearer's ID.



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- The business has been under new ownership since 2008
- Yours is not the ONLY example that we expect to rost. There have been others that very deliberately did similar, and wh know who is the owner of the costume they used as it was made with specific detailing, to be sure.
- And we are VERY serious about the litegations regarding posting of libel against our company, unlike the previous owner, who I will add is NOT related to anyone in the 501st nor TDH postings either,



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- Actually I posted no defamatory statements. The photo represents the gear I got under the management of 2006. The photo is unedited and the gear was only modified in order to fit. I believe I CLEARLY stated that. I believe I have every right to be unhappy after spending \$1800 on a costume, sending them measurements, getting things damaged then being ignored when I questioned the people I taked to about it. Being new management I doubt you knew. However terming me "geeka-Fett" is a personal attack.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- You say the photo you posted is a "warning". If so I then respond with my photo is a similar warning against purchasing the shoddy gear that was being released under that current management. If SFP is indeed under new management then my grief no longer holds and I can walk away. However I would like my image removed



Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- Is your homepage still

<http://www.starfortressproductions.com/>



-

[The Star Fortress](#)

www.starfortressproductions.com

Galactica, Star Wars, Star Trek, uniforms, blasters, props , props replicas, super hero costumes

[Share](#)



Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- if so you may wish to update the photos, as the Fett helmet is still shown painted wrong as in the photo the lower cheeks are darker then the upper cheeks



Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- Again, no personal information was posted, no name or facial identification was in the photo, just that the costume was improperly worn as described in the picture in a way that demeaned the product. That is all that the pic is stating. The pic was posted for public review, we reviewed it. However anything stated SPECIFICALLY against Star Fortress is a clearly identifiable statement, and statings such as "shoddy", or involving accusations of sexual misbehavior are clearly defamatory and unfounded, especially since neither the previous owner nor I have ever left this country to have been in the US or anywhere else for that matter. Leaving this country is extremely difficult and going to the US even moreso. So postings of libel are being turned over to attorneys in various regions for prosecution.



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- Ok, I see that error, If you wish to have it corrected we will warrantee it if it is original paint. We back our product even if it is 6 years later and the fault was on our end.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I have made no remarks regarding sexual behavior as having no evidence of such is not my business, and as you say regards the former management. However the "critique" also involves former management and as such has no merit today. In the end all I wish is the removal of my photo. I have obtained a new helmet and have no need of a warranty, but by even offering you have shown me more courtesy than I got 6 years ago when I initially brought up the issue.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- If you would remove the image I would be willing to publicly retract my issue with the current management.
- still around?



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- Sorry, my cpu locked up and had to restart



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- np can ya see prior posts?



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- As I was typing, it's good that you did not make such statements as your name would then be in the list. I can tell you that certain 501st TDH etc. members ARE involved in the activities they are stating about in their so-called witch-hunt, and user data and IP traces have linked the very accusers to actually the same misconduct they are spouting, and this is provable and IS being turned over to the proper authorities. This may come as a surprise, but that's tangeable, not heresay. I will not give any further details, nor will they be able to erase their past web-use logs from their providers. Tsk tsk.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- like i said my main beef was with the prior owners and was unaware that ownership had changed. My only remaining issue is said photo, which as i stated i would then make a public apology to the current management under my other account as soon as it is unblocked



•

Yesterday

Star Fortress

- The previous owner was a good owner, but the harrassment made him very bitter to wars fans in the end. We get CONSTANT harrassment about the 501st postings. You are insulted about the simple criticism on that photo, well perhaps you and all the others out there should stop acting so selfish and think about the ones this berating of wares and harrassing sexually orientd postings effects. Maybe you didn't post the extreme, but you DID go along with the crowd in general. The parts are the same with the exception of 1 painting error and the fact that you PAINTED the shoes black for some reason, as the parts in the pic on the mannequin, save for the undersuit size ordered, and Chris Bartlett promored those as the finest quality before that idiot took over and duplicated the pattern and started selling them instead of buying them from us, and then posting the libel about the warrant b/s. Anton still comes in and helps out and perhaps if you KNEW the people involved you'd be a bit more respecting of the work. That is a fine suit. I dont know of any damage complaint without checking the record, but clearly nothing was returned for replacement and there appears to be no picture associated in your file archive, so what can you expect. There appears to be no damage to the suit in the pic from checking it out right now. As for a public apology, that would certainly be nice, not only here, but on all the webrings as well. you can forward links here. Then we can take care of the pics. You should also talk to the administrator at TDH and where ever else you have posted and have the original comment removed.



•

Yesterday

Tyson Bradford

- The photo was taken in order to not have the damage evident. The damage was the least of my complaints (until I was ignored) as my main complaint was the painting was wrong, as were the sizes in spite of the fact that I gave correct measurements. I painted the stripes on the shoes black because the stripe on the boot IS black and not the purple and green. Also the shapes of several items are different than the actual suit, which can be seen with photos. But that point is neither here nor there. My photo was posted on TDH for their review. I did not post it on to your page, nor did I give permission for it to be used. As a company you should be aware of such rules. You cannot use a photo of someone, or a photo not taken by yourself for your business purposes without their consent. Upon the

removal of the photo I will be more than happy to visit TDH and state that my grief has ended and will ask the moderator to remove my posting.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I see you removed some of my postings but my photo remains. Speedy removal of the photo will result in my stated apology.



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- I am waiting for that from YOUR end 1st. After all, it WAS you who 1st posted.



•

Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I cannot do so until you unblock my other account



•

Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- there is no PURPLE on the boots we make, they are correctly green and gray or black and gray depending on the epic they were used in. The size is a standard size set and you are required to check the measurements to make sure it fits you. there is no note of payment for custom sized on your order archive nor an accompanying payment for custom. Our staff sends what is ordered. Yes I see an incorrect helmet paint job and that's the only error I see. As for the posting, I will remove it for now and allow 5 days for results because I am an amiable individual. As for the pic, it was posted on a public forum for critique, thus

making it available for such critique and is not being used for any profitable purpose.



Yesterday

Star Fortress

- what was the other account name?



Yesterday

Tyson Bradford

- See that is where the issue is, the correct color is black stripe, as seen in the displayed suit, there is no green involved. The rest of the armor IMO was painted poorly, but again that's my opinion. My other account name was under the same Tyson Bradford email mandosoldier1978@yahoo.com

I have already made a posting on TDH

at <http://www.thedentedhelmet.com/f22/starfortress-productions-41499/>

post # 25



- thedentedhelmet.com

Starfortress Productions

www.thedentedhelmet.com

Hi everyone, I am thinking of buying some Boba parts from a place called Starfortress productions in the Philipines, has any one had any dealing with

Share



Yesterday

[Star Fortress](#)

- You ONLY bought the cheapest version we make which states the simpler style of painting, If you wanted the best quality then you should have ordered it, it's very authentic and stated so by Jeremy Bullock himself. There are MANY differences between the bottom of the line and the top quality. I locked out the album for 5 days. Now it's in your corner. This the end of this conversation, it's easter and my children are waiting for me to play the easter egg hunt. Good day to you.



Yesterday

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I can make FB announcement on your page upon unblocking of my other account. However quality opinions aside. I can agree as I said I have already made a posting and asked that my posts regarding you be removed.

- [Star Fortress](#)

- As time has progressed there have been quite a number of upgrades to our products, as has been for many years now. We were one of the 2 first makers of boba fett costumes along with one Steve Altmann. We keep up with competitors in detail and quality. If people would be less likely to criticize they might find it more profitable to carry and distribute our products rather than prejudice against us based on rumors from ignoramous. Children enjoyed the easter egg hunt.



20 hours ago

[Star Fortress](#)

- Just thought you shd know, you just earned the respect of a growing number of fb members from that posting. I know the 501st will be pressuring you, but that's the challenge of life, not acting just to fit in, but being an individual. The LIKES are building on our resolution..



10 hours ago

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I was never out for respect or was not bandwagoning against you. I simply had some issues with mispainting and a few items that broke and upon contacting about it I was basically told it was my fault and then ignored. My grief was legitimate, but it was against the old management. As soon as I learned there was new management, and you actually responded and offered to still fix the issue I was convinced that the new management was better and my grief no longer holds as valid against the current one. I'd still like to see things better, as I believe even the cheaper version could be made better (ir collar and backplate connecting via studs, etc....) but in the end that's for the buyers to decide fo themselves.

One tip though, if you in fact intend to go libel against members of the 501st, you may want to cease offering patches with the 501st name/id #/garrison names as imo it looks kinda tacky to sell merch with their info and then make posts against them as well, but again that's just me speaking as I am a 501st member.



9 hours ago

[Star Fortress](#)

- the 501st is a military brigade from WW-II, the ONLY entity that has any authority over the use of the term is the United States Army and NOT some dweeb running a Star Wars forum. The patch design art is copyrighten to Outworld for those specific patterns and the term 501st legion is nonspecific and is primarily a suggestion for whatever brigade name you wish to commission on the patch. It SAYS they are personalizable and we get various orders for various garrison names and color combinations and the fans seem to love them. Thus your analogy of the situation with them is incorrect. ANYONE that says anything to you about it and you can pass it on. But the patches were OUR design exclusively.



9 hours ago

[Tyson Bradford](#)

- I can see that, just pointing out a possible issue beforehand.